

*Fleshman Way/SR 129 Interchange Public Presentations – Aug. 12, 2009  
Comprehensive Summary of Comments Received*

The numerical lists correspond with the comment form questions:

1. *What category best defines you? Regular commuter, Area property owner, Interested community member, Other*
2. *How satisfied have you been with the public involvement process and your opportunity to provide input? (1-10 / Very Disappointed – Very Satisfied)*
3. *Comments:*
4. *What are your thoughts on the preferred alternative? Are there specific aspects of it that you like or dislike?*

- 
1. Interested community member
  2. 10
  3. Very good solution #6 based on public input
  4. Specifically – taking out the left hand turn lanes. The future problems will most likely be heavy traffic through downtown Clarkston. I think a truck route should be researched.

- 
1. Area property owner
  2. 10
  3. –
  4. Continue to pursue funding mechanisms

- 
1. Area property owner
  2. 10
  3. –
  4. I believe Alt. 6 is a well thought out decision that puts the safety of all concerned priority #1.

- 
1. Area property owner
  2. 10
  3. Good presentation, informative, and good thoughts involved in making alternative 6
  4. I was impressed by Alt. 6, and hope the project moves forward now before we see a great deal more accidents on the west end of the bridge.

- 
1. Area property owner
  2. 10
  3. Good workman like approach, keep up the good work.

4. It seems many of the concerns of public have been considered to develop the proposed preferred alternative, even though it may be more costly initially, in the long run it appears it will be the most economical. Eliminating 2 or 3 major accidents during a say 40 year life would more than pay for the project.
- 

1. Area property owner
  2. 10
  3. I appreciate this change to be involved
  4. The design of Alt. 6 seems preferable. It will be confusing to long-time folks as it is and I worried that a double-lane roundabout would be too much for them. This seems much simplified. I like the fact that it can handle increased traffic flow into the decades. I think we do have to be prepared for an increase in fender benders as people adjust. Even with the transformation of Hwy 95 between Lewiston and Moscow, there was a rash of accidents. People are set in their ways and patterns become ingrained. In the long run, however, I believe we will all be glad for the changes.
- 

1. Regular commuter, Area property owner
  2. 10
  3. –
  4. It looks like a good plan. Lets do it right this time. Keep up the good work.
- 

1. Area property owner
  2. 10
  3. I was impressed with the meeting. Comments details in how it was presented. The simulation gave a very good review of how it would function.
  4. I agree with alternative 6. I would hope it could take place as soon as possible!  
Concern: Funding! / Also, how traffic would be handled while waiting for completion. Long period of time.
- 

1. Interested community member
  2. 10
  3. –
  4. Alternative 6 – Preferred appears to address most of the communities' concerns, and certainly all of mine. Any change can be a problem, but we need to address this. Good Job!
- 

1. Interested community member
  2. 10
  3. –
  4. I think Alt 6 is the best of the bunch. The design is innovative. Bicycles are considered. All my questions were answered and the project has full support.
- 

1. Regular commuter
2. 10

3. Did a good job listening, redesign happened because of good communication with public
  4. Alt. 6 looks great
- 

1. Area property owner
  2. 9
  3. Nice presentation
  4. #6 less impact on property owners
- 

1. Area property owner
  2. 10
  3. Well presented
  4. Alt #6 looks to be best
- 

1. Other: Heights, frequent commuter
  2. 10
  3. #6 excellent design, would like to see all 4 lanes as driving lanes, even the one may be a merge lane. Why stripe it closed?
  4. Is there some feasible way 18<sup>th</sup> Ave. could be routed into the round about? Perhaps dead end the east end and re-route from upstream?? I'm trying to avoid a pair of left turns immediately after exiting roundabout.
- 

1. Regular commuter
  2. 10
  3. We appreciate being informed and having our opinion valued
  4. We think it is a good design using positives of original design. Good job!
- 

1. Area property owner
  2. 9
  3. –
  4. Alt 6 best of all alternatives. Keeps 18<sup>th</sup> open. I do like the exit ramps and approaches of initial Alt. 6 that bypass the roundabout
- 

1. Area property owner
  2. 9
  3. –
  4. Good plan. Very glad to see access to 18<sup>th</sup> Ave.
- 

1. Area property owner
  2. 9
  3. Very much in favor of alternative 6
  4. 18<sup>th</sup> Ave. access very important
- 

1. Regular commuter/Interested community member/Responsible Drive
2. 8

3. Please retain responsibility of ensuring safe, drivable, simple solutions rather than simply catering to adjacent property owners to reach a consensus. The public expects and trusts a wise decision- thanks for allowing us to comment.
4. Not sure of the preferred alternative.

- 
1. Interested community member
  2. 10
  3. Both presentations have been excellent
  4. #6 looks like the cats meow

- 
1. Regular Commuter
  2. 8
  3. I am pleased to see this proposal (#6).
  4. I like the peanut round about traffic pattern. I like the efforts to slow traffic on 18<sup>th</sup> in general. I also like the slowing of traffic and entrance to the freeway/bridge. Frankly, I suspect a staged release of students from the high school could alleviate some traffic congestion. Likewise, school starting times could be stage. The # of students isn't the problem; it is the poor driving decisions.

---

Although I did not attend yesterday's public presentation, I fully support alternative 6 that has evolved from previous citizen input. This alternative comes close to the alternative 4 I had favored before, and accomplishes the major goal of keeping traffic moving.

I read in the Lewiston Tribune that one attendee at the presentation thought installing three traffic lights "would cure the problem." No, it wouldn't: the main problem stems from those dangerous left turns that would remain under that solution.

Asotin County is growing with many new residents like myself who feel we need to quit solving problems on the cheap. The Aquatic Center is an excellent example of looking at the longer term, overcoming objections by an entrenched minority that we should sell it and revert to the way the community existed in the 1950's.

The newspaper report indicated the county commissioners will consider alternative 6 at their August 24 meeting. By copy of this message, I am encouraging them to endorse it.